

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,465	1	12/03/2001	Xuefeng Wang	SMAR-019	. 7243
24353	7590	05/19/2003			
	-) & FRANCIS LI	EXAMINER		
200 MIDDL SUITE 200		_		FAY, ZOHREH A	
MENLO PA	MENLO PARK, CA 94025		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		<u>.</u>		1614	9
			. •	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)						
	10/005,465	WANG ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
	Zohreh Fay	1614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply	V 10 000 TO EVDIDE 4	MONTH/O) FROM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) Mete, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
, <u> </u>	his action is non-final.							
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disp sition of Claims 								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-28</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documen								
2. Certified copies of the priority documen								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has	been received.						
Attachment(s)	the priority under 55 0.5.	C. 33 120 dilujoi 121.						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .						

Application/Control Number: 10/005,465

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-28 are presented for examination.

The response to the restriction requirement of March 25, 2003 has been received and entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S.Patent 4,323,558) and Boltralick (U.S.Patent 5,420,120).

Nelson teaches the use of the claim designated copper chelator, triethylenetetramine in a pharmaceutical formulation for the treatment of inflammation. See Abstract, line2. Boltralic teaches the use of glucocorticoid anti-inflammatory compounds for the treatment of dermatological and ophthalmic inflammation caused by laser irradiation. See page 4, paragraph 10, 11 and page 15, paragraph 122. The primary reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of the claimed compounds for the treatment of ocular inflammation. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed composition for the treatment of the inflammation of eye, considering that the secondary references teaches, compounds which have been used for the treatment of inflammation of a different part of the body, can also be used for the treatment of ocular inflammation.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since one relates to the use of the claimed amines for the treatment

Art Unit: 1614

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

of inflammation of a part of the body other than eye, and the other teaches that antiinflammatory compounds can be used for the treatment of inflammation in different
parts of the body. To use a well known anti-inflammatory drug for the treatment of
ophthalmic inflammation would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art,
considering that the state of the art teaches anti-inflammatory agents, can be used for
the treatment of inflammation of different parts of the body including eye. The use of
the claimed composition for the treatment of inflammation caused by different causes
does not create a patantably distinct invention in the absence of evidence to the
contrary. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or
unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 1-23 are properly

Claims 25-28 are objected to a being dependent on a rejected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4604. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

ZOHNEH I AY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Application/Control Number: 10/005,465

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Z.F May 9, 2003 ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200